

CONTROLLING ATTENUATION DURING ECHO SUPPRESSION

Field of the Invention

The invention relates to echo suppression, and more particularly to
5 controlling the echo suppression.

Related Art

In telephonic communication, it has become common to use speaker
phones or other such equipment that does not require users to hold equipment to
10 their ears. This provides for the ability to use both hands while communicating
and for multiple people to be involved in the same conversation. The free use
of both hands is becoming particularly important in the use of cell phones in an
automobile. One of the difficulties in any such system is that the output of a
speaker is picked-up by a microphone. One alternative, known as half duplex
15 operation, has been to simply turn off one while the other operates. Thus, only
one of the speaker or microphone is operational at a given point in time, but this
is not desirable for maximizing natural communication. Another alternative,
which provides more natural communication, is to operate in full duplex mode.
In order to allow this, echo cancellation has been introduced. This has been
20 effective but often the conversation still does not seem natural because of the
cancellation process and the echo cancellation is generally not completely
effective.

The performance of a typical acoustic echo canceller in practice is
limited by nonlinearities of the devices in the signal path as well as the ambient
25 noise of the near end environment. Due to these limitations an echo canceller
may be capable of delivering only 25dB to 30dB of attenuation in the echo path.

This implies that during periods of single talk, where only the far-end speaker is active, there may still be some small amount of audible echo reflected back to the far end. This can be very annoying to the one speaking at the far end.

Therefore it is usually necessary to add some additional attenuation into the
5 signal path during far end only activity. This is typically accomplished by inserting a non-linear echo suppressor function into the echo path following the echo canceller.

Typically echo suppressors add attenuation by ramping the attenuation factor up or down at some predefined constant rate based on the presence of far
10 end and/or near end energies. This has the effect of creating a trapezoidal attenuation window around the speech envelopes and will invariably either cut off the beginning of the near end speech or allow significant echo to pass. The double talk performance of these systems is less than desirable.

Thus, there is a need to provide echo cancellation and attenuation that
15 reduces distortion in a double talk situation.

Brief Description of the Drawings

The present invention is illustrated by way of example and not limited by the accompanying figures, in which like references indicate similar elements,
20 and in which:

FIG. 1 is a functional block diagram of a circuit according to a preferred embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 2 is a hardware block diagram useful in implementing the embodiment of FIG. 1; and

25 FIG. 3 is a flow diagram showing a method for the preferred embodiment of the invention;

Skilled artisans appreciate that elements in the figures are illustrated for simplicity and clarity and have not necessarily been drawn to scale. For example, the dimensions of some of the elements in the figures may be exaggerated relative to other elements to help improve the understanding of the
5 embodiments of the present invention.

Detailed Description of the Drawings

In one form, echo suppression is controlled by providing a signal that is a mix of error signal and comfort noise. The mix of these two is selected based on the energies of an input signal and an error signal. This is better understood
 5 with reference to the drawings and the following description.

Shown in FIG. 1 is an echo cancellation circuit 10 comprising an adder 12, an adder 14, a controlled amplifier 16, an adder 17, a controlled amplifier 18, an echo suppression (ES) control function 20, a comfort noise generator 22, a fixed filter 24, and an adaptive filter 26, a microphone 28, and a speaker 30.
 10 Speaker 28 and 30 are considered the near end. A signal $x(n)$ is received and provided as an input to speaker 30 which provides an audio output. This audio output is received by microphone 28, which has an output coupled to an input of adder 12 and an input of adder 14. Microphone 12 receives other audio, e.g., background noise and anything spoken by a user at the near end. Thus
 15 whatever audio that is received by microphone 28 is converted to an electrical signal $y(n)$ that is received by adder 12 and adder 14. Fixed filter 24 has an input coupled to receive signal $x(n)$ and an output coupled to adder 12. Similarly, Adaptive filter 26 has an input coupled to receive signal $x(n)$ and an output coupled to adder 14. Adder 12 has an output for providing an error
 20 signal $ef(n)$. Adder 14 has an output for providing an error signal $ea(n)$. Controlled amplifier 16 has a signal input coupled to the output of adder 12 for receiving signal $ef(n)$, a control input coupled to a first output of ES control function 20, and an output coupled to a signal input of adder 17. Adder 17 has an output for providing an error signal $s(n)$. Controlled amplifier 18 has a
 25 signal input coupled to comfort noise generator, a control input coupled to a second output of ES control function 20, and an output coupled to a control

input of adder 17. ES control function 20 has a first input coupled to receive signal $y(n)$ and a second input coupled to receive signal $x(n)$.

In operation, speaker 30 responds to signal $x(n)$ by providing audio when signal $x(n)$ is provided. Fixed filter 24 responds to signal $x(n)$ by providing a
 5 signal to adder 12 with a selected relationship to signal $x(n)$. Similarly, adaptive filter 26 responds to signal $x(n)$ by providing a signal to adder 14 with a selected relationship to signal $x(n)$. Signal $ef(n)$ is attenuated by controlled amplifier 16 according to a factor a which is a positive number less than one. If
 10 a equals "1" there is no attenuation. If a equals "0" signal $ef(n)$ is completely blocked. Similarly controlled amplifier 18 attenuates signal $g(n)$ from comfort noise generator 22 based on one minus factor a . Thus, adder 17 thus receives signals $ef(n)$ and $g(n)$ in attenuated form. Adder 17 thus provides signal $s(n)$ as a combination of these attenuated forms of signals $ef(n)$ and $g(n)$.

The factor a is obtained by the use of ES control function 20. ES control
 15 function 20 calculates ERLE by using the output of adder 14 and signal $y(n)$. ES control function 20 then calculates factor a using the ERLE. The use of an adaptive filter, signal $y(n)$, and an adder to find the ERLE is known in the art and may be achieved in such a manner for this purpose. The a factor is calculated as shown in FIG. 3. The ERLE is obtained by calculating the energy
 20 (E_y) of the input signal $y(n)$ and the energy (E_e) of the error signal $ea(n)$ as shown in steps 62 and 64 followed by subtracting the log of E_e from the log of E_y . The ERLE is calculated using the output of adder 14 which is coupled to adaptive filter 26 rather than adder 12, which is coupled to fixed filter 24. A ceiling for ERLE is calculated as shown in step 68. The ceiling is a recent
 25 maximum of ERLE. Recent, in this particular example, means that the maximum ERLE is allowed to decay at a slow rate and is used for determining

how well the filter should currently be performing. Similarly, a floor is calculated for $e(n)$ shown as step 70. The noise floor is a long term average of $ef(n)$ for the case when there is no speech present. This average is taken when E_y is below a selected threshold. The calculation for the noise floor is well known to one of ordinary skill in the art. The factor a is obtained, shown as step 72, using the ratio of the ERLE to the ceiling of the ERLE and multiplying that ratio by the floor of $e(n)$. Because the noise floor is a negative number, this term is also a negative number. These calculations are of algorithms so the resulting term is the algorithm of factor a and has a scaling factor added as well. To obtain the actual factor a , this term is converted to factor a by applying it as an exponent. The negative exponent ensures that factor a is a number between 0 and 1. This step 72 produces factor a , which is used to control the attenuation of signals of error signal $ef(n)$ and comfort noise $g(n)$, shown in step 74. The scaling factor is for adjusting the total amount of attenuation provided by attenuation factor a for different environments with differing levels of ambient noise.

The equations for the exponent (e) and factor a , where m is the scaling factor, are as follows:

$$e = (ERLE/Ceiling)(Noise\ floor)$$

$$a = 10^{me}$$

For the case in which there is no signal coming from the far end, signal $x(n)$ is small, and for simplicity is considered zero for this example. When signal $x(n)$ is zero, adaptive filter 24 provides no canceling signal to adder 12 so that signal $ea(n)$ and signal $y(n)$ are the same. In such case, ERLE is zero. With ERLE zero, the exponent in obtaining factor a is zero. With the exponent at zero, factor a becomes 1. Thus, for the case in which signal $x(n)$ is without

energy, factor a is one which causes signal $ef(n)$ to not be attenuated and signal $g(n)$ to be completely blocked. In this case, signal $y(n)$ is effectively passed through as error signal $s(n)$, which is the output provided to the far end. This is the desirable result, because there is no need for additional attenuation when all
 5 of the audio that is being generated by the system is at the near end and that audio is being received at the far end.

For the case in which there are relatively large signals at both $x(n)$ and $y(n)$, which is the double talk situation, adaptive filter 26 will diverge due to the uncorrelated energy of signals $x(n)$ and $y(n)$ and will provide no significant
 10 echo cancellation. Thus, in such case, $ea(n)$ is very close to $y(n)$. With the result of there being no difference between $y(n)$ and $ea(n)$, ERLE is calculated to be zero. Thus results in a zero exponent so that a is one. With factor a at zero, controlled amplifier 16 provides no attenuation and controlled amplifier provides complete blocking with the result of signal $ef(n)$ passing through as
 15 signal $s(n)$. Thus, in the double talk situation, in which the predominant information in both directions is valid, there is no additional attenuation added by the echo suppressor.

For the case in which signal $x(n)$ is large and there is no audio being originated at the near end, which is a single talk situation, signal $y(n)$ receives
 20 significant echo cancellation at adder 14 due to adaptive filter 26. Since no audio is being generated from the near end, signal $y(n)$ is all echo so that signal $ef(n)$ is preferably zero and the difference between signal $y(n)$ and signal $ea(n)$ is large. With a large difference between the energy of $y(n)$ and $ea(n)$, ERLE is relatively large. With ERLE relatively large, the exponent is a relatively large
 25 negative number with the result that factor a is effectively zero. With factor a at zero, controlled amplifier 16 provides no input to adder 17 whereas controlled

amplifier 18 passes signal $g(n)$ unattenuated to adder 17. The result in such a case is that signal $s(n)$ is provided as comfort noise without error signal being present.

Adaptive filter 26 loses correlation very quickly if there is audio
5 originated from the near end. Thus, any speech from the near end will cause signal $y(n)$ to be less correlated to $x(n)$ resulting in adaptive filter 26 losing correlation and making signal $ea(n)$ the same as $y(n)$, which in turn causes factor a to become 1, thereby passing $ef(n)$ as $s(n)$. The equation used for factor a is as follows:

10 Shown in FIG. 2 is a phone system 40 as used in an automobile, comprising a microphone 28, a speaker 30, a codec 42, a DSP 44 having a flash memory 50, a wireless local area network (WLAN) 46, and a cell phone 48 with an antenna 50. Codec 42 provides needed coding and decoding between the DSP 44 and the speaker 30 and microphone 28. DSP 44 provides the
15 functionality shown in FIG. 1 and is directed by software stored in flash memory 50. DSP 44 is coupled to the cell phone 48 via WLAN 46. Speaker 28 and 30 are inside the automobile and cell phone 48 provides the communication link with a party outside the automobile.

In the foregoing specification, the invention has been described with
20 reference to specific embodiments. However, one of ordinary skill in the art appreciates that various modifications and changes can be made without departing from the scope of the present invention as set forth in the claims below. For example, signal $x(n)$ is shown being directed connected to fixed filter 24, adaptive filter 26, and speaker 30. Such signal $x(n)$ would be buffered
25 in different ways for each of these elements. In particular, speaker 30 would need different buffering than would filters 24 and 26. Similarly, controlled

amplifiers 18 and 16 may provide an output of a greater magnitude than the input signal for the cases where the signal is to be passed. Also, comfort noise generator 22 may be excluded so that no comfort noise is generated or passed through as signal $s(n)$ while the operation of controlled amplifier 16 could be
5 maintained. Accordingly, the specification and figures are to be regarded in an illustrative rather than a restrictive sense, and all such modifications are intended to be included within the scope of present invention.

Benefits, other advantages, and solutions to problems have been described above with regard to specific embodiments. However, the benefits,
10 advantages, solutions to problems, and any element(s) that may cause any benefit, advantage, or solution to occur or become more pronounced are not to be construed as a critical, required, or essential feature or element of any or all the claims. As used herein, the terms "comprises," "comprising," or any other variation thereof, are intended to cover a non-exclusive inclusion, such that a
15 process, method, article, or apparatus that comprises a list of elements does not include only those elements but may include other elements not expressly listed or inherent to such process, method, article, or apparatus.